1 Environment Directorale

Consultation on the Environmental Technology Best Practice Programme

The closing date for receipt of comments is 5 February 1999. Please note that we reserve the right to make your responses publicly available unless you clearly ask us not to.

If you have any queri DTI, tel 0171 215 10	es about submitting your respose.	onse, please c	ontact David Perc	ival at the.
Are you familiar have you used its ser	with the Environmental Tecroices in the past?	chnology Bes	t Practice Progra	nme or
	yes no			
Please indicate th	ne nature of your organisation	n:		
Trade Association	idustry or commerce n echnology equipment supplic		Coffessional	Institute.
Consultation ques	stions	•		
1. Do you believe the fective steps to i	at a lack of reliable informat mprove environmental perfo	tion hinders b ormance?	ousiness from tak	ing cost-
· d	yes no			
2. Is there a need for i	mpartial information from	a government	t source?	
<u>ධ</u> න	yes no			
3. Is there a role for a	national Environmental Hel	lpline?		
□ ⊠ ′	yes no		•	•

Please add any comments on the role for a national information programme, or how it should interface with locally-delivered services.

Business Links are the appropriate delivery mechanism for Government advice to companies. Additional agracies degrade the 'one stop Shop' concept. However, Business links man need to access central advice on specialist issues, including environmental technology. How Access to publications cather than a helpline my be sufficientin this respect.

4. Should any future programme continue to target similar issues as before or is there a case for the programme to shift its emphasis into other areas? Please rate the following priorities:

following priorities:	<u>Priority</u>					
	High	Medium			Low	
The programme should continue to focus	5	(a)	3	2	1	
on the manufacturing process The programme should address product design	3	4	3	2	1	
The programme should cover broader cultural	5	4	3	2	1)	
and economic issues The programme should directly assist suppliers of environmental goods and services	5	4	3	2	0	

Comments:

mments:	nomic
mments: The programme is not able to change business culture as this depends on economic times. Support of specific suppliers is anti-competative and may stifle ex	feciency_
sund Final Support of Special Topping	
and investion. Manufacturing processes remain the main source of environmental hozards and Manufacturing processes remain the main source of environmental hozards are Manufacturing processes remain the main source of environmental hozards are	remart
Manufacturing processes remain the main source of environmental removes impossible the formative design has the potential for far greater impossible to proceed the contract of environmental performance across product life-cycles.	
of environmental potential of the	

5. To what extent should the programme focus on helping companies meet environmental legislation at minimum cost, rather than promoting improvements which are cost-effective in their own right?

	<u>Priority</u>					
	High	Me	edium	ì	Low	
The programme should focus on helping companies meet legislation at minimum cost	5	Ø	3	2	1	
The programme should focus on promoting cost-effective improvements	5	Ø	3	2	1	

nments:

The	programme should aim to improve the standard of Best Amilable
Took	sigues Not Establing Excesive Cost, Bost Peachicable Environmental option and
Book	Profice Ha Massas This means promoting cost-effective technology
Ll.	t yields higher environmental standards and thus moves the legislative
240	hnank of acceptable partice up a notch.
10018	now how the second party and t
-	

6. Should the programme aim at stimulating short-term incremental improvements or should it promote step-change improvements? If it were to take the latter approach, would it be necessary to have a greater emphasis on R&D?

	Priority				
	High		lediur	Low	
The programme should aim at stimulating short-term incremental changes	5	4	3	2	<u>(1</u>)
The programme should focus on promoting step-change improvements	(5)	4	3	2	1
The programme should place emphasis on R&D	(5)	4	3	2	1

Comments:

	······································
It is extremely difficult for a pragame w	the relatively small budget
to achieve significant incremental change acre	the last of account to
to achieve singificant incremented change act	ock MO QUAINERS COMPACING
against the prevailing commic dinate.	The programmes resources will
against my prevailing economic committee	1 de la constante de la consta
be for better used in daveloping and prom	noting 5100 channe interests.
- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	
	, "

7. Should the programme be largely targeted at specific sectors or should it do more of a cross-sectoral nature?

a cross-sectoral nature.		P				
	High	M	[ediur	n.	Low	
The programme should be targeted at specific sectors	s (5)	4	3	2	1	
The programme should be aimed at cross-sectoral issues		4	3	2	<u>(1)</u>	

Comments:

OE]	Environmental dammage is mainly caused by a small number of sectors who can be fargeted affectively by the programme. Cross-sectional issues such as	-
	building and teamport affectioning will respond little to an information programme. History began standards on product design are required to achieve the latter.	1
!	effects.	_
•		

8. If you believe the programme should target specific areas, what criteria should be used to determine priorities?

	<u>Priority</u>				
	High	Medium		I	.0₩
- environmental impact of waste and emissions	(3).	4	3	2	1
 consumption of resources in relation to sustainability of supplies 	(5)	4	3	2	1
- cost saving opportunities for business	5	4	(3)	2	1
- number of businesses in the sector	5	4	3	2	1
 potential for uptake of measures by other companies 	5	Ø	3	2	1
- commercial opportunities from solution both in UK and overseas	5	4	3	2	1

Comments:

Action D	wells to	Save	costs a	d produce	radud	s with a	ommercial	
DODACHUA i	ties are	boltos	- beeilike	deed by the	Mariet	place.	<u> </u>	
It is the	do A	GUNDERM	ent ba	Carilitate	those d	areas that	the me	arket
can not a	talivar.	in Hair	5 CARE	the protect	tion of the	o primare	ur.	
The numbe	de bu	sinesses i	nderd M	lug have li	Ho beari	g on the so	alo of the	
environmen	•	1						
	. , ,		•					

9. Assuming that any continuing programme continues to have similar objectives to the current one, are the existing mechanisms for information transfer the most effective, or should others be developed?

	Usefu	I			Not useful
- a telephone helpline	5	4	3	Ø	1
- half day free on-site consultancy	5	Ø	3	2	1
- benchmarking guides	5	A	3	2	1
- guides describing good practice on specific issues	5	Ø	3 .	·2	1
- guidance on environmental priorities	5	4	(3)	2	1
- case studies	5	${\mathfrak G}$	3	2	1
- electronic communication tools:					·
internet	5	Ø	3	2	1
CD ROMs	5	4	③	2	1
management software	5	•4	Œ	2	1
- waste minimisation clubs	5	4	Œ	2	1
- events (seminars, workshops, visits)	5	4	3	0	1
- direct marketing techniques, articles in press etc	5	4	(3)	2	1

Others would be useful as follows:

The use of collaboration with other c	Aparties e.s.
The Environment Anomer would ellering	the mertal the sorvice to larget soctors,
Business Links could affectively dals	we the sorvice.

	. If the programme priorities should be altered, in what way should the promotional mechanisms change?			
So aboo.				
1. What role should the programme play in relat	ion to wider policy initiati	ves? Should		
the programme play a role in supporting:				
sectoral sustainability strategies	yes	no		
voluntary agreements	(Yes)	no		
company environmental reporting	ves	no		
environmental management systems	. (yes	no		
Comments:	1 1 201	indian.		
To improve affectiveness, the programme st where possible. Moneyer, the most impo programme apented by the Environment be the priority.				
2. Assuming that the future programme continu	es to be principally an info can impact best be measur	ormation and red? Please		
rank the following in order of priority:				
- surveys of programme users	4			
	<u>4</u> <u>1</u>			
- surveys of programme users	1			
surveys of programme userssurveys across business as a whole	mance 1			
 surveys of programme users surveys across business as a whole surveys to benchmark environmental performance 	mance 1 3			